

Theorem (4.1)

Let X be Markov (λ, Q) , $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_u, u \leq t)$, and assume T is a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ stopping-time. Let $\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \forall t \geq 0 A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t\}$. Conditionally given $\{T < \infty, X_T = x\}$, $(X_{T+t}, t \geq 0)$ is Markov (δ_x, Q) , independent of \mathcal{F}_T .

Remark 1 : Simple Markov property corresponds to the case when T is a deterministic time, say $T = s$. Then $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_s$, and the above ensures that conditionally given $\{X_s = x\}$, $(X_{s+t}, t \geq 0)$ is Markov (δ_x, Q) , independent of \mathcal{F}_s .

Remark 2 : Often this property is stated with a conditioning on $\{T < \zeta, X_T = x\}$. Here it does not change anything because we have chosen to work with the minimal version of the process.

Proof of simple Markov property

Fix $s \geq 0$. The first key observation is that, thanks to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution, the law of $S_{n+1} - s$ given $\{Y_n = x, S_{n+1} > s\}$ is exactly an exponential distribution with parameter q_x .

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} - s > t \mid J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}, Y_n = x) = \exp(-q_x t).$$

Proof of simple Markov property

Moreover, on the event $\{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\}$, it is clear that the decomposition of the trajectory of $(\tilde{X}_t := X_{s+t}, t \geq 0)$ is given by $(\tilde{Y}_k = Y_{n+k}, k \in \mathbb{N})$, and $(\tilde{S}_1 = S_{n+1} - s, \tilde{S}_k = S_{n+k}, k \geq 2)$.

It follows we can prove the property at least for cylinder events : for $y_0, \dots, y_n, \tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{y}_k$ states in E , and $t_1, \dots, t_n, \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k$ nonnegative reals, it is straightforward to deduce from the simple Markov property for the discrete-time chain Y , and the above observation, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_n = y_n, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_n > t_n\} \\ & \quad \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\} \cap \{X_s = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = \tilde{y}_k, \tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > \tilde{t}_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_n = y_n, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_n > t_n\} \\ & \quad \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\} \cap \{X_s = x\}) \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, Y_k = \tilde{y}_k, S_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, S_k > \tilde{t}_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of simple Markov property

Since events of the form

$\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_n = y_n, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_n > t_n\}$ clearly generate $\mathcal{G}_n := \sigma(Y_0, \dots, Y_n, S_1, \dots, S_n)$, we deduce from the above that for any $A_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A_n \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_n + 1\} \cap \{X_s = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = \tilde{y}_k, \tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > \tilde{t}_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A_n \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_n + 1\} \cap \{X_s = x\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, Y_k = \tilde{y}_k, S_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, S_k > \tilde{t}_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of simple Markov property

The second key observation is that the trajectory of the chain up to time s *on the event* $\{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\}$ requires only the knowledge of $Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}, S_1, \dots, S_n$. More rigorously, we claim that if $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, then $A \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\} = A_n \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\}$ for some $A_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Indeed

$$\mathcal{G}_s := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{F}_s \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists A_n \in \mathcal{G}_n : \right. \\ \left. A \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\} = A_n \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\} \right\}.$$

is a sub-sigma-algebra of \mathcal{F}_s , and for any n it contains events of the form

$\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_n = y_n, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_n > t_n\} \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_{n+1}\}$,
which generate \mathcal{F}_s , hence $\mathcal{G}_s = \mathcal{F}_s$.

Proof of simple Markov property

We deduce from the above that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_n + 1\} \cap \{X_s = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = \tilde{y}_k, \tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > \tilde{t}_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{J_n \leq s < J_n + 1\} \cap \{X_s = x\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, Y_k = \tilde{y}_k, S_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, S_k > \tilde{t}_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

By summing over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we reach the desired conclusion :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{X_s = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = \tilde{y}_k, \tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > \tilde{t}_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{X_s = x\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = \tilde{y}_0, \dots, Y_k = \tilde{y}_k, S_1 > \tilde{t}_1, \dots, S_k > \tilde{t}_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of strong Markov property

Let T an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -stopping time. We let $(\tilde{X}_t = X_{T+t}, t \geq 0)$, and let $(\tilde{Y}_k)_{k \geq 0}, (\tilde{S}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ its path decomposition. We can approximate T by a sequence of simpler stopping times, indeed

$$T_N := \sum_{K=1}^{N2^N} \frac{K}{2^N} \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{K-1}{2^N} < T \leq \frac{K}{2^N}\}} + (N+1) \mathbb{1}_{\{T > N\}}$$

is such that, a.s., $T_N \rightarrow T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, on $\{T < \infty\}$, observe that $(T_N)_{N \geq \lceil T \rceil}$ is nonincreasing,

We introduce $(\hat{X}_t = X_{T_N+t}, t \geq 0)$ and let $(\hat{Y}_k)_{k \geq 0}, (\hat{S}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ its path decomposition. Obviously all these quantities with a hat superscript a priori depend on N , even though we dropped it from the notation.

Proof of strong Markov property

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, so that $A \cap \{T_N = \frac{K}{2^N}\} =: A_N \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{K}{2^N}}$, and by simple Markov property at time $K2^{-N}$ we find that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any y_0, \dots, y_k in E , and for any t_1, \dots, t_k nonnegative reals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{T_N = \frac{K}{2^N}\} \cap \{X_{T_N} = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\hat{Y}_0 = y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_k = y_k, \hat{S}_1 > t_1, \dots, \hat{S}_k > t_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A_N \cap \{X_{T_N} = x\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_k = y_k, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_k > t_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over K from 1 to $N2^N$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{X_{T_N} = x\} \cap \{T \leq N\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\hat{Y}_0 = y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_k = y_k, \hat{S}_1 > t_1, \dots, \hat{S}_k > t_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{X_{T_N} = x\} \cap \{T \leq N\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_k = y_k, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_k > t_k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of strong Markov property

The process X is right-continuous, and (T_N) is eventually nonincreasing on $\{T < \infty\}$ so

$\{X_{T_N} = x, T \leq N\} \rightarrow \{X_T = x, T < \infty\}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

By the same argument

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ X_{T_N} = x, T \leq N, \hat{Y}_0 = y_0, \dots, \hat{Y}_k = y_k, \hat{S}_1 > t_1, \dots, \hat{S}_k > t_k \right\} \\ \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} & \left\{ T < \infty, X_T = x, \tilde{Y}_0 = y_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = y_k, \tilde{S}_1 > t_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > t_k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Using dominated convergence on both sides of the previous equality then yields the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = x\} \\ & \quad \cap \{\tilde{Y}_0 = y_0, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k = y_k, \tilde{S}_1 > t_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_k > t_k\}) \\ = & \mathbb{P}_\lambda(A \cap \{T < \infty, X_T = x\}) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}_x(\{Y_0 = y_0, \dots, Y_k = y_k, S_1 > t_1, \dots, S_k > t_k\}). \end{aligned}$$