

## Definition (4.5)

A Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  is the continuous-time Markov chain on  $E = \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q_k = q_{k,k+1} = \lambda$ .

In other words, the successive values taken by  $X$  are deterministic : if the process is started at  $x_0$  then  $Y_n = x_0 + n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and all the randomness of the process is that of the sequence of holding times  $(S_k, k \geq 1)$ , a sequence of i.i.d exponential( $\lambda$ ).

# Independent and stationary Increments

## Theorem (4.2)

*Assume  $X$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, for any  $s \geq 0$ , the process  $(X_{t+s} - X_s, t \geq 0)$  remains a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ , started at 0, and is independent of  $(X_u, 0 \leq u \leq s)$ .*

The above follows from applying Markov property at time  $s$  (along with translation invariance of  $Q$ ).

Hence the process  $X$  has independent and stationary increments.

Indeed it follows from the theorem that for any

$n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ ,  $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n} - X_{t_{n-1}})$  has independent coordinates, and moreover for any  $k$ , the law of  $X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}}$  is that of  $X_{t_k - t_{k-1}}$ . We are going to see now that in addition,  $X_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ , (which gives an alternate characterization of the law of the process).

## Theorem (4.3)

Let  $\lambda > 0$  fixed, and  $X$  be a càdlàg increasing process taking values in  $\mathbb{N}$ , started at 0. The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i)  $Y_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and  $(S_k, k \geq 1)$  are i.i.d exponential( $\lambda$ )
- (ii)  $X$  has independent increments and uniformly in  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ ,  
 $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- (iii)  $X$  has independent and stationary increments, and  $X_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

# Proof of characterization theorem

In what follows we write, for short  $p_{ij}(t) := \mathbb{P}_i(X_t = j)$ . Assume  $X$  satisfies (i). Observe that  $p_{ii}(h) = \mathbb{P}_i(S_1 > h) = \exp(-\lambda h)$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = \exp(-\lambda h)$ , so indeed the first equation of (ii) is satisfied.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i(i+1)}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(S_1 \leq t, S_2 > t - S - 1) \\ &= \int_0^t \lambda \exp(-\lambda u) \int_{t-u}^{\infty} \lambda \exp(-\lambda v) dv du \\ &= \lambda t \exp(-\lambda t) \end{aligned}$$

By the previous theorem  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) = \lambda h \exp(-\lambda h)$ , and indeed the second equation of (ii) is satisfied.

Obviously the above implies that uniformly in  $t$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \geq 2) = o(h)$ . Note however that the latter also is  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 + S_2 \leq h) \leq Ch^2$  which recovers this result.

# Proof of characterization theorem

Now assume  $X$  satisfies (ii), so that uniformly in  $t$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+h} - X_t \notin \{0, 1\}) = o(h)$ . Thus, uniformly in  $t$ , we have  $p_{00}(t+h) = p_{00}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h))$ . This yields  $p'_{00}(t) = -\lambda p_{00}(t)$ , and  $p_{00}(0) = 1$ , so  $p_{00}(t) = \exp(-\lambda t)$ . By the same reasoning

$$p_{ij}(t) = p_{i(j-1)}(t)\lambda h + p_{ij}(t)(1 - \lambda h) + o(h)$$

so  $p'_{ij}(t) = -\lambda p_{ij}(t) + \lambda p_{i(j-1)}(t)$ , and of course  $p_{ij}(0) = 0$  for any  $i < j$ . This can be rewritten

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\exp(\lambda t)p_{ij}(t)) = \lambda \exp(\lambda t)p_{i(j-1)}(t), \quad p_{ij}(0) = 0$$

hence by an easy induction, for  $i < j$ ,

$$p_{ij}(t) = \exp(-\lambda t) \frac{(\lambda t)^{j-i}}{(j-i)!}.$$

# Proof of characterization theorem

We have therefore established, assuming (ii), that  $X_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ . Now, by assumption,  $X$  has independent increments, and  $(X_{t+s} - X_s)_{t \geq 0}$  also satisfies (ii), by the same reasoning  $X_{t+s} - X_s$  also follows a  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$  distribution, so (iii) follows.

To finish the proof, observe that (i) and (iii) both uniquely characterize the law of  $X$ , and we have proven (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) so it must be that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

# An important remark

Observe that the system of ordinary differential equations which we have obtained for  $\{p_{ij}(t), (i, j) \in E^2\}$  can be rewritten in the following condensed form

$$P'(t) = P(t)Q, \quad P(0) = Id.$$

## Theorem (4.4)

Let  $I$  be a set of indices, assume it is at most countable.

- (a) Assume  $((X_i(t), t \geq 0), i \in I)$  are independent Poisson processes with respective rates  $\lambda_i, i \in I$  such that  $\Lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i < \infty$ . Then  $(X(t) := \sum X_i(t), t \geq 0)$  is a Poisson process of rate  $\Lambda$ .
- (b) Assume  $X$  is a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ . Independently label  $c_j$  each jump of  $X$  so that  $\mathbb{P}(c_j = i) = p_i, i \in I$ . Then for any  $i \in I$   $\{J_j : c_j = i\}$  are the jumps of a Poisson process  $X_i$  with rate  $p_i \lambda$ , and the  $(X_i, i \in I)$  are independent.

This result implies in particular the validity of Harris' graphical representation of Markov chains



## Theorem (4.5)

Let  $X$  be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Conditionally given  $\{X_t = n\}$ , the set of jump times  $\{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$  have the same law as  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  with  $(U_k, 1 \leq k \leq n)$  are independent variables, uniformly distributed on  $[0, t]$ . Consequently  $(J_1, \dots, J_n)$  has the same distribution as the ordered statistics of an  $n$ -sample of such independent  $\text{Unif}[0, t]$ , with joint density

$$f_{(J_1, \dots, J_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq t\}}.$$